Effects of the 1918 Influenza Pandemic Mortality Experience on Subsequent Fertility of the Native Population of Guam

JANE H. UNDERWOOD

Department of Anthropology, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721

Abstract—Between November 7 and December 9, 1918, 638 deaths were recorded within the native population of Guam, over twice the average annual total number of deaths for the preceding three years, or 68 per cent of the total number of deaths (944) recorded for the entire year of 1918. A sample of records for 321 individuals who died during this peak epidemic period and for whom complete linked family records have been compiled was analyzed to study the effects of this mortality on subsequent fertility and population growth patterns.

After the passage of the epidemic through Guam, levels of births prior to the epidemic were surpassed within two years, and previous levels of deaths were surpassed within four years. Total population size exceeded the 1915 level (12, 968) after 1920. Expected effects on fertility levels resulting from the deaths of 93 adults below the age of 50 in this sample were minimized by the subsequent fertility of 24 per cent of surviving spouses. The very low percentage of all influenza-related deaths occurring among infants may have served as a temporary constraint on completed reproductive performance levels of surviving adults. Given prevailing cultural and demographic patterns, consequences of the 1918 influenza epidemic were of minimal import to the microevolution of this native population.

An unusual feature of the 1918–19 influenza pandemic was its reported tendency "... to cause a fatal result in young adults..." (van Rooyen and Rhodes, 1948: 563). This characteristic could be expected to have particular impact on the subsequent fertility history of small, relatively isolated populations, such as those of many Pacific islands. McArthur (1968) estimated some of these effects for the native and Indian populations of the Fiji Islands. Here I review the fertility consequences of the mortality experience of the native population of Guam resulting from the influenza epidemic beginning there in early November 1918. The vital statistics and other data for this study are derived from public records maintained at the Department of Public Health of the Government of Guam, the 1897 Spanish census, Guam News Letter, Guam Recorder, Annual Reports of the Governor of Guam, and from informants.

Background

In late October 1918, the military transport ship LOGAN arrived in Guam from Manila, carrying influenza-affected passengers. By November 7, the first cases of influenza-related deaths were recorded among native residents of the major center of Agana, and between that date and December 9, 1918, 638 deaths were reported for *Micronesica* 19(1-2): 1-9, 1983 (December).

| Year | Male deaths | Female deaths | Unknown deaths | ΣD | Sex ratio | Total population |
|----------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|-------|--------------|---------------------|
| 1902 | 126 | 111 | | 237 | 113.5 | |
| 1903 | 123 | 133 | | 256 | 92.5 | |
| 1904 | 164 | 124 | | 288 | 132.3 | |
| 1905 | 184 | 155 | | 339 | 118.7 | |
| 1906 | 124 | 125 | | 249 | 99.2 | |
| 1907 | 135 | 139 | | 274 | 97.1 | |
| 1908 | 139 | 158 | | 297 | 88.0 | |
| 1909 | 138 | 147 | | 285 | 93.9 | |
| 1910 | 154 | 155 | | 309 | 99.4 | 11,806 |
| 1911 | 141 | 138 | | 279 | 102.2 | |
| 1912 | 139 | 155 | | 294 | 89.7 | |
| 1913 | 175 | 164 | | 339 | 106.7 | |
| 1914 | 139 | 118 | 3 | 260 | 117.8 | |
| 1915 | 163 | 152 | 4 | 319 | 107.2 | |
| 1916 | 119 | 123 | 1 | 243 | 96.7 | |
| 1917 | 125 | 118 | | 243 | 105.9 | |
| 1918 | 443 | 501 | | 944 | 88.4 | |
| 1919 | 101 | 74 | | 175 | 136.5 | |
| 1920 | 95 | 121 | | 216 | 78.5 | 13,275 |
| 1921 | 117 | 110 | | 227 | 106.4 | |
| Σ 1902–1917 | 2,288 | 2,215 | | 4,511 | | |
| \overline{X} (16y) | 143 | 138 | | 282 | | |
| Sex ratio | | | | | 103.3 | |
| Σ 1902–1921 | 3,044 | 3,021 | | 6,073 | | |
| \overline{X} (20y) | 152 | 151 | | 304 | | |
| Sex ratio | | | | | 100.8 | |
| Σ 1915–1917 | 407 | 393 | | 805 | | |
| \overline{X} (3y) | 136 | 131 | | 268 | | |
| Sex ratio | | | | | 103.8 | |

Table 1. Guam, 1902–1921. The total population size is from the Fifteenth Annual Census by the U. S. Census Bureau.

natives residing in towns and villages throughout the island. The dramatic level of increased mortality can be seen from a comparison of the total number of deaths reported for 1918 and for the immediately preceding and subsequent years (Table 1).

According to Crosby (1976:232), over 800 Guamanians, or $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of the entire population, died as a result of the influenza epidemic, implying the presence of a total native population of nearly 18,000 persons. However, the numerical figure is probably inaccurate; the total number of deaths recorded in 1918 was 944, but, based on a previous three-year average, an estimated 268 of the total deaths in 1918 may be attributed to causes other than the 1918 epidemic, leaving a remainder of 676 deaths ascribable to the direct effects or sequelae of the disease. This figure agrees closely with the figure of 638 deaths recorded during the peak period of effect, November 7 through December 9, 1918. Further, it is unlikely that a native population which had reportedly increased from 11, 624 in 1910 to 12, 968 in 1915 (Hainline, 1964) had

dramatically grown to nearly 18,000 individuals in 1918. I estimate that about $4\frac{1}{2}$ per cent of a native population numbering less than 15,000, or a maximum of 675 persons, died in the 1918–19 influenza epidemic on Guam.

| Age | Males | | | | Fe | | Total | | |
|---------|--------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|-------|--------|-----------|
| group | | Actual | Estimated | | Actual | Estimated | | Actual | Estimated |
| | % | Ν | Ν | % | Ν | Ν | % | N | N |
| 0 | 3.29 | 136 | 235 | 2.50 | 114 | 197 | 2.87 | 250 | 420 |
| 0-4 | 15.59 | 645 | 1,112 | 14.03 | 640 | 1,104 | 14.77 | 1,285 | 2,216 |
| 5–9 | 14.16 | 586 | 1,010 | 11.51 | 525 | 905 | 12.77 | 1,111 | 1,916 |
| 10-14 | 10.61 | 439 | 757 | 10.04 | 458 | 790 | 10.31 | 897 | 1,548 |
| 15-19 | 9.79 | 405 | 699 | 10.11 | 461 | 795 | 9.96 | 866 | 1,494 |
| 20-24 | 10.30 | 426 | 735 | 11.23 | 512 | 883 | 10.79 | 938 | 1,618 |
| 25-29 | 9.23 | 382 | 659 | 9.98 | 455 | 785 | 9.62 | 837 | 1,443 |
| 30-34 | 7.42 | 307 | 529 | 7.78 | 355 | 612 | 7.61 | 662 | 1,141 |
| 35-39 | 5.17 | 214 | 369 | 4.65 | 212 | 366 | 4.90 | 426 | 735 |
| 40-44 | 3.24 | 134 | 231 | 3.49 | 159 | 275 | 3.37 | 293 | 506 |
| 45-49 | 3.05 | 126 | 218 | 4.01 | 183 | 315 | 3.55 | 309 | 532 |
| 50-54 | 2.88 | 119 | 205 | 4.34 | 198 | 341 | 3.65 | 317 | 547 |
| 55-59 | 2.54 | 105 | 181 | 3.40 | 155 | 267 | 2.99 | 260 | 448 |
| 60-64 | 2.83 | 117 | 202 | 2.72 | 124 | 214 | 2.77 | 241 | 416 |
| 65-69 | 1.67 | 69 | 119 | 1.45 | 66 | 114 | 1.55 | 135 | 232 |
| 70–74 | 0.92 | 38 | 66 | 0.77 | 35 | 61 | 0.84 | 73 | 126 |
| 75+ | 0.51 | 21 | 36 | 0.39 | 18 | 31 | 0.45 | 39 | 67 |
| Unknown | n 0.09 | 4 | 6 | 0.10 | 5 | 8 | 0.10 | 9 | 15 |
| Totals | 47.56 | 4,137 | 7,134 | 3 | 4,561 | 7,866 | | 8,698 | 15,000 |

Table 2. Age-sex distribution of the native population of Guam, 1897 (Spanish census) and 1918 (estimated).

Table 3. Births on Guam, 1903–1912 (total for both sexes).

| Category | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Single births | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legitimate | 426 | 405 | 381 | 438 | 445 | 461 | 482 | 463 | 464 | 487 |
| Illegitimate | 93 | 78 | 65 | 76 | 71 | 83 | 61 | 67 | 55 | 56 |
| Twin births | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legitimate | 4 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 16 |
| Illegitimate | | | 2 | | 2 | | | 4 | _ | 2 |
| Other multiple | | | | | | | | | | |
| briths | | | | | | | | | | |
| Legitimate | 4 | | | | | _ | _ | _ | | |
| Illegitimate | | _ | | | | _ | _ | | | _ |
| Totals | 527 | 485 | 456 | 528 | 520 | 554 | 549 | 538 | 525 | 561 |

Mean = 5243/10 = 524.3.

As the age-sex distribution of the Guamanian population in 1918 is not available, age-specific mortality rates resulting from the epidemic cannot be calculated. Direct extrapolation from the 1897 Spanish census results (Table 2) underestimates the number of younger age groups in the growing population. A sample 10-year record of births and deaths, 1903 through 1912 (Tables 3 and 4), reveals a persistent excess of births over deaths, with a 10-year mean of +237.3. Only this

| | | | , | | | | | | | |
|-----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Age group | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 |
| Stillborn | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | | | | 3 | . 5 | 6 |
| 0 | 79 | 83 | 85 | 72 | 95 | 102 | 98 | 84 | 95 | 83 |
| 1–4 | 51 | 81 | 105 | 68 | 65 | 80 | 70 | 96 | 67 | 70 |
| 5–9 | 11 | 12 | 17 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 4 | 8 |
| 10–14 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 7 |
| 15-19 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 9 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 |
| 20-24 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| 25-29 | 13 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| 30-34 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 16 | 7 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 7 |
| 35-39 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 10 |
| 40–44 | 10 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| 45–49 | 8 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 6 |
| 50-54 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| 55-59 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| 60–64 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 4 | 9 | 9 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 9 |
| 65–69 | 12 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 6 | 6 |
| 70–74 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 11 |
| 75–79 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| 80-84 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| 85-89 | 2 | | 3 | | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 90–94 | | 1 | 1 | | . — | | 1 | 1 | | 1 |
| 95+ | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Totals | 256 | 288 | 339 | 249 | 274 | 297 | 285 | 309 | 279 | 294 |

| Table 4. | Deaths by | age group | on Guam. | 1903-1912 (| (total for | both sexes). |
|----------|------------|-----------|----------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| ruore i. | Doutino og | upe proup | on ouum, | 1705 1712 | (cottai ioi | ooth beneby. |

Mean = 2870/10 = 287.0.

Table 5. Infant death rate and percent of all deaths due to early childhood deaths on Guam, 1903–1912.

| | 1903 | 1904 | 1905 | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 | Σ |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
| Deaths (age <1) Births | 79 527 | 83 485 | 85 456 | 72 528 | 95 520 | 102 554 | 98 549 | 84 538 | 95 525 | 83 561 | 876 5,243 |
| Infant death rate | 15.0 | 17.1 | 18.6 | 13.6 | 18.3 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 15.6 | 18.1 | 14.8 | 16.7 |
| Deaths (age 0–4) Total deaths | 129 256 | 130 288 | 164 339 | 190 249 | 140 274 | 160 297 | 182 285 | 168 309 | 180 279 | | 1,605 2,870 |
| Percent early childhood deaths | 50.4 | 45.1 | 48.4 | 76.3 | 51.1 | 53.9 | 63.9 | 54.4 | 64.5 | 55.1 | 55.9 |

consistent excess of births ensured a slow rate of overall increase where the infant death rate averaged nearly 17, and the per cent of all deaths ascribable to deaths in early childhood (0-5) averaged more than 50 per year over this 10-year period (Table 5). Following Barclay (1958), this rate is calculated as the ratio of infant deaths to births for the same recording year.

Sample Materials and Results

A sample of 321 recorded death certificates was selected for analysis from the total death records for the period November 7 through December 9, 1918, based on the availability of complete and multiply-verified family records for each of the selected individuals. The age-sex distribution for the sample is shown in Table 6.

In comparison to average mortality patterns for 1903 through 1912, several notable differences can be summarized:

- infant deaths for the sample constituted a much smaller percentage (7.5 per cent) of total deaths as compared with an average 30.7 per cent for 1903–1912;
- (2) 30.2 per cent of all epidemic period deaths in this sample occurred among persons aged 15-49 years, in contrast to an average 19.8 per cent among these age groups for 1903-1912;
- (3) there was an increase in the percentage of all deaths among those aged 50 years and above (10.0 per cent in 1903–12 and 16.8 per cent in the 1918 sample).

There is no real difference between the sex ratio (96.9) for the 1918 sample and the average sex ratio (100.8) of all deaths for the period 1903 through 1912.

Among sample adults aged 20–49 at the time of death in 1918, 37, or 77.1 per cent of males, and 15, or 33.3 per cent of females were married. These figures probably understimate the number of adults in *de facto* marital unions, especially among younger cohorts. Among 30 unwed females, 6, or 20.0 per cent had previously delivered at least one child. A breakdown of marital status by 10-year age group is shown in Table 7.

Discussion

In all societies, the effects of epidemic mortality on subsequent fertility would expectably differ between and among cohorts or cohort groups. For example, there would be no expectable direct effects on subsequent fertility as a result of deaths among women after menopause. However, indirect effects could result if the disruption of existing sexual/marital unions were promptly followed by the remarriage of surviving widowers to women in younger, reproductively-effective age groups. A summary of major expectable effects, specific to Guam, of 1918 epidemic mortality on subsequent fertility, by 10-year age groups, is shown in Table 8.

In earlier studies I found mean age at first marriage for males born in 1897 of

| 1 00 0000r | | Sample ($N = 321$) | |
|------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Age group | Males | Females | Σ |
| <1 year N | 10 | 14 | 24 |
| % | 6.3 | 8.6 | 7.5 |
| 1–4 | 34 | 41 | 75 |
| | 21.5 | 25.1 | 23.4 |
| Σ0-4 | (44) | (55) | (99) |
| | 27.8 | 33.7 | (30.8) |
| 5–9 | 9 | 8 | 17 |
| | 5.7 | 4.9 | 5.3 |
| 10–14 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| | 1.9 | 2.5 | 2.2 |
| 15–19 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| | 1.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 |
| 20–24 | 7 | 5 | 12 |
| | 4.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 25–29 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| | 1.9 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| 30–34 | 4 2.5 | 7 4.3 | 11 3.4 |
| | | | |
| 35–39 | 12 7.6 | 6 3.7 | 18 5.6 |
| 10.11 | | | |
| 40–44 | 7 4.4 | 10 6.1 | 17 5.3 |
| 45–49 | 15 | 12 | 27 |
| 45-49 | 9.5 | 7.4 | 8.4 |
| 50–54 | 7 | 8 | 15 |
| 50-54 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 4.7 |
| 55–59 | 14 | 5 | 19 |
| 55 57 | 8.9 | 3.1 | 5.9 |
| 60–64 | 7 | 6 | 13 |
| | 4.4 | 3.7 | 4.0 |
| 65+ | 23 | 31 | 54 |
| | 14.6 | 19.0 | 16.8 |
| Σ | (158) | (163) | (321) |
| - | 99.9 | 100.1 | 99.9 |

Table 6. Deaths by age and sex groupings on Guam, November 7–December 9, 1918.

/

| | Males | | | | Females | S | Σ | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|----|--------------------|---------|----|--------------------|---------|--|
| Age group (in years) | Σ | Single/ Widowed | Married | Σ | Single/ Widowed | Married | Σ | Single/ Widowed | Married | |
| 20–29 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 20 | 13 | 7 | |
| 30-39 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 29 | 12 | 17 | |
| 40–49 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 22 | 14 | 8 | 44 | 16 | 28 | |
| Totals | 48 | 11 | 37 | 45 | 30 | 15 | 93 | 41 | 52 | |

Table 7. Current marital status at time of death in Guamanian sample, November–December 1918.

24.0 years, and 21.5 years for females born in the same year, with a mean age differential of 7.2 years between spouses. (These studies are recorded in a typed manuscript entitled "Population, history and the ethnodemography of Guam during the period of U. S. Navy administration, 1901–1941" on file at the University of Guam Micronesian Area Research Center.) Among 52 married individuals aged 20–49 at the time of death, 6, or 11.5 per cent were not survived by spouses, as these also succumbed to influenza. (These spouses are not part of the total sample of 321 deaths analyzed here.)

Of the remaining 46 surviving spouses, 8 widowers and one widow subsequently remarried, and all 8 widowers fathered children, while 3 widows gave birth to children out of wedlock. The mean time interval between spouse death and next birth for eight remarried widowers was 65.9 months and 49.7 months for three unwed widows. Since the earlier study had found an average birth interval of 31.2 months across all birth order ranks, influenza-related deaths resulted in an "equivalent offspring loss" of about one child for remarrying widowers, and slightly less than one-half child for the lesser number of surviving widows who subsequently produced liveborn offspring. Of remarrying widowers, only two were over 40 years of age in 1918, and each remarried women who were less than 7 years younger. No surviving widower aged 50 + in this sample subsequently remarried.

These findings suggest that the possible effects of influenza-related adult mortality on subsequent fertility in Guam were minimized by several factors, including prevailing demographic and cultural patterns, such as long-observed high rates of illegitimacy (Cox, 1910) continuing patterns of widower-remarriage, and the continuing presence of significant number of never-married females in this population. Among 46 marriages terminated by the death of one spouse, eleven survivors (24 per cent) subsequently produced offspring. Further, as a proportion of the influenza-related deaths may represent "premature deaths," as reflected in the decreased total number of deaths for the succeeding three years, perhaps only half of the deaths among sample adults represent losses specific to the 1918 influenza epidemic. In any case, the number of births reported for 1920 (661) had already

| Age groups | Epidemic mortality | | Expected fertility effects |
|------------|---|------------|--|
| 0-4 | Significantly lower mortality than in pre-epidemic period, especially among infants | (1) (2) | Fewer deaths to nursing infants, thus maintaining restraints of lactation on maternal fecundity. Survivorship of larger cohort entering age of reproductive maturity in ca. 1934–38. |
| 5–19 | Minimal change in percentage contribution to total deaths | (1) (2) | No direct effects on subsequent fertility likely Possible decrease in average age at first marriage by females as older widowed males seek mates from depleted older female cohorts. |
| 20–29 | Minimal increase $(4.9\% \rightarrow 6.2\%)$ percentage contribution to total deaths | (1) (2) | Some pregnancies terminated by maternal deaths; some sexual/marital unions terminated by death of males and/or females, with consequent interruption or termination of further reproductive opportunity. Increased opportunities for sexual/marital unions of surviving females with males of this and older cohorts, including widowers. |
| 30–39 | Significant increase $(5.8\% \rightarrow 9.0\%)$ in percentage contribution to total deaths | (1) | and (2) Same as above. |
| 40–49 | Significant increase $(5.6\% \rightarrow 13.7\%)$ in percentage contribution to total deaths | (1) (2) | Premature termination of completed reproductive performance, particularly of males dying from influenza. Extension of reproductive period for widowers who remarry younger women. |
| 50+ | Significant increase $(10.0\% \rightarrow 16.8\%)$ in percentage contribution to total deaths | (1) (2) | No effects due to deaths among females. Extension of reproductive period for widowers who remarry younger women. |

 Table 8. Major expectable effects of epidemic mortality on subsequent fertility, by age-groups.

exceeded the number of births, 612, reported in 1917. Similarly, McArthur (1968: 35) recorded the rapid recovery of the Fijian and Indian groups by 1921.

Only in one other respect, beyond the scope of this paper, would fertility effects seem likely—the low percentage of total deaths among infants may have served as a constraint on reproductive performance. My earlier study noted a mean birth-spacing interval of 31.2 months for all birth orders, but 65 per cent of all births occurring within 24 months of a previous delivery followed the death of the older child. Thus, a decrease in infant mortality would act as a constraint on fertility.

The long-term genetic consequences of influenza-related mortality in adults were probably also slight. In eleven cases, at most, new sexual/marital unions allowed for

Vol. 19. December 1983

new genetic combinations in offspring born after 1918, while the interruption of existing unions which had already produced offspring by 1918 lessened the degree of genotypic variation in the population. This was, however, more than counterbalanced by the increased survival rate of infants in this growing population. Some slight advantage related to X-linked loci may have resulted from the slightly lower rate of fertility among widows after the epidemic. In summary, the microevolutionary consequences of the 1918 influenza epidemic in Guam were probably of little consequence in the history of this interesting island population.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am deeply appreciative for the support provided for research by the Wenner-Gren Foundation, Inc., and for the unstinting assistance and encouragement provided by countless Guamanians, including the entire staff of the Micronesian Area Research Center and the Government of Guam Vital Records Office. This paper is an expanded revision of a paper delivered at the 52nd annual meeting of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists in Indianapolis, Indiana, April 1983.

References Cited

Barclay, G. W. 1958. Techniques of population analysis. John Wiley and Sons, New York.
Cox, L. M. 1910. The island of Guam. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C.
Crosby, A. W., Jr. 1969. Epidemic and peace, 1918. Greenwood Press, Westport.
Hainline, L. J. 1964. Human ecology in Micronesia: determinants of population size, structure and

dynamics. UCLA, Ph.D. Dissertation Anthropology. McArthur, N. 1968. Island populations of the Pacific. University of Hawaii Press, Honolulu. van Rooyen, C. E., and A. J. Rhodes. 1948. Virus diseases of man. T. Nelson and Sons, New York.

9